Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/715,676	WILLIAMS, CHARLES H.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Darren W. Ark	3643
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Final</u>		
(1) <u>Darren W. Ark</u> .	(3)	•
(2) Brett G. Corbly.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2005	Time: <u>3:00pm EST</u>	•
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 16, 18, 20, 21, 23, 25, 30, 31, 37		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
0.155		•
DARREN W. ARK PRIMARY EXAMINER		
Dane Whit -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed. Examiner stated in claim 16, that he proposes deleting "for" in order to positively recite that the transverse support has the at least one rod receptacle. In regard to claims 21 and 30, Examiner proposed to rewritte that each station has a rod receptacle since the proposed After Final amendment could be interpreted that each station could have one or more receptacles which cannot occur since each station has only 1 receptacle. Applicant agreed. Please see Examiner's Amendment for details.